

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	F	ILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/709,405		05/03/2004	Isaac Cohen		3404
41427	7590	04/11/2006		EXAM	INER
ISAAC CO			NGUYEN, MA	NGUYEN, MATTHEW VAN	
1171 CARLL'S STRAIGHT PATH DIX HILLS, NY 11746				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
DIM INDES	, 111 11	,		2838	
				DATE MAILED: 04/11/2006	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

			h.A.				
	Application No.	Applicant(s)	- II U Ji ililii .				
	10/709,405	COHEN, ISAAC	I Ma				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	. I alika I japona				
,	MATTHEW V. NGUYEN	2838	4. of plane -				
The MAILING DATE of this communication a Period for Reply	ppears on the cover sheet with	the correspondence addre	SS				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REF WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory perion - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by state Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mate aerned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	DATE OF THIS COMMUNICA 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply od will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTH: ute, cause the application to become ABAN	TION. be timely filed from the mailing date of this comm DONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	ditan Jidan Jidan zaliwan				
Status			, 300 pro 11 kg. , 221 pro 11 kg. , 21 pro 11 kg.				
1)⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>03</u>	May 2004.						
2a) This action is FINAL . 2b) ⊠ TI	nis action is non-final.		i con a diale.				
3) Since this application is in condition for allow	3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is						
closed in accordance with the practice unde	r <i>Ex par</i> te <i>Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 1	1, 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposition of Claims							
4) \boxtimes Claim(s) <u>1-6</u> is/are pending in the application	١.		(- react appare				
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withd			1 .Him- 1 this				
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.) plane.				
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-6</u> is/are rejected.			* uniden - visitions				
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.			Acad <mark>alignia -</mark> n - mad a <mark>lignia</mark> -				
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and	8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.						
Application Papers			lite Inter- Allinea				
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Exami	ner.						
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>03 May 2004</u> is/are: a)⊠ accepted or b)□ objected to by the Examiner.							
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).							
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).							
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the	Examiner. Note the attached C	Office Action or form PTO-	152.				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119			٠ المؤلفة المؤ المؤلفة المؤلفة				
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for forei	an priority under 35 U.S.C. § 1	19(a)-(d) or (f).					
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:							
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.							
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No							
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage							
application from the International Bure	eau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).		- 1 34 min				
* See the attached detailed Office action for a li	st of the certified copies not re-	ceived.	Col Madien				
^			**************************************				
Attachment(s)			1 17441				
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	A) Interview Sum	nmary (PTO-413)	d Ungel en latinari				
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	Paper No(s)/N	fail Date	tell (HBr) :				
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/0		rmal Patent Application (PTO-15	(300)(00)(0)				
Paper No(s)/Mail Date J.S. Patent and Trademark Office	6) Other:						
	Action Summary	Part of Paper No./Mail Date	20060406				
•			**************************************				
			will be seen and the seen and t				

Art Unit: 2838

- 1. The disclosure should be carefully reviewed and ensure that any and all grammatical, idiomatic, and spelling or other minor errors are corrected. For instance, in Abstract, last line, "said" should be deleted; in Specification, [0003], last line, before "bus", "2" should be changed to 4 --.
- 2. Claims 3 and 4 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as failing to comply with the written description requirement. The claim(s) contains subject matter which was not described in the specification in such a way as to reasonably convey to one skilled in the relevant art that the inventor(s), at the time the application was filed, had possession of the claimed invention. In claims 3 and 4, the averaging and summing means being "analog" and "digital", respectively, are not disclosed in the specification.
- 3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 1-3, 5 and 6 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Leventis et al. (U.S. Pat. No. 4,866,295) in view of Perry (U.S. Pat. No. 6,343,026). With regard to claims 1-3, 5 and 6, Leventis et al. (i.e, Fig. 1) shows a method and circuit for generating a signal proportional to the output current comprising current sensing means (6, 8) for generating signals proportional to the current in

Art Unit: 2838

the circuit, averaging and summing means (at 10) for generating a signal proportional to the sum of the input current and to the output current of the power source (2, 4), the signal being used for controlling and monitoring purposes (see col. 1, line 21 – col. 4, line 33).

Leventis et al. does not show downstream converters (in fact, reference of Leventis discloses power supply circuits 2 and 4).

Perry (i.e, Fig. 1) shows a power supply circuit in which downstream converters (1, 2) are comprised.

It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to utilize downstream converters as shown in Perry into the power supply circuit of Leventis et al. for the purpose of having a more regulated output current for the load.

4. Claim 4 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Leventis et al. in view of Perry as applied to claim 1 above, and further in view of Burns et al. (U.S. Pat. No. 6,377,037).

With regard to claim 4, Leventis et al. in view of Perry shows a method and circuit for generating a signal proportional to the output current comprising all the claimed subject matter as discussed in the subparagraph 3 above, except for the averaging and summing means being digital.

Burns et al. (i.e., Figs. 1-3) a power supply device in which the current sensor is processed into the digitized current with sampled current data.

Application/Control Number: 10/709,405

Art Unit: 2838

It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to utilize digital processing onto the current sensor as shown by Burns et al. into the power supply device of Leventis et al. and Perry for the

5. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

purpose of enhancing the accuracy of the circuit.

Shelly (U.S. Pat. No. 4,425,613), Moriya (U.S. Pat. No. 5,121,315) and McKim et al. (U.S. Pat. No. 6,741,103) also disclose power supply devices each of which comprises substantial elements as recited in the claims of the instant application

6. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Matthew V. Nguyen whose telephone number is (571) 272-2081.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the Group receptionist whose telephone number is (571) 272-2800.

Natthew V. Name MATTHEW V. NGUYEN O PRIMARY EXAMINER

Page 4